

The Presentation Spectrum: From Informing to Inspiring

Sandra Hodaifa 

Independent Researcher, Maaref University of Applied Sciences, Syria

Corresponding Author: e-mail: Sandra.Hodaifa@mas.edu.syAvailable online at: <https://doi.org/10.66422/RJMU.ID.68>

Received: 16 May 2026

Revised: 10 June 2026

Accepted: 12 July 2026

Abstract— Presentations succeed when their format aligns with purpose. This work identifies seven core types: informative, persuasive, instructional, motivational, decision-making, demonstrative, and interactive. Each is designed to meet distinct communicative needs. Informative presentations transfer knowledge with clarity, persuasive ones shift attitudes through evidence and narrative, instructional formats build skills through practice, and motivational talks inspire through authenticity. Decision-making presentations guide groups neutrally through options, demonstrative formats prove concepts by showing rather than telling, and interactive sessions foster engagement and ownership. In practice, presentations often blend elements, but effectiveness begins with asking a simple question: What do I need this presentation to do? Answering that anchors delivery, shapes content, and ensures audiences leave not just informed, but impacted.

Keywords— Presentation types, informative presentations, persuasive communication, instructional design, motivational speaking, decision making frameworks.

I. Introduction

Presentations have become an almost inseparable part of contemporary communication. They appear in universities, schools, corporate offices, professional conferences, public institutions, workshops, community gatherings, and increasingly in digital spaces where physical presence is no longer necessary for an audience to gather around an idea (Halder). Their ubiquity is hardly accidental. Modern professional and academic environments place considerable value on the ability to communicate information efficiently while retaining attention, clarifying complexity, and giving an audience some reason to care about what is being said.

At first glance, presenting may seem straightforward: prepare a number of slides, organize several talking points, stand before an audience, and explain the subject. In practice, it is rarely so simple. A presentation is a compressed act of communication in which language, visual design, timing, confidence, audience awareness, and subject knowledge converge, sometimes rather unforgivingly, in real time. A written report allows the reader to stop, reread, or reconsider an argument. A presentation does not always offer that luxury. The audience encounters information as it unfolds, and the presenter must somehow make complex material understandable while simultaneously maintaining momentum.

For that reason, the capacity to present ideas clearly and confidently has become increasingly valuable across educational and professional settings. Effective presentation skills can help individuals communicate expertise, build credibility, create professional relationships, and gain access to opportunities that might otherwise remain unavailable (Valleva and Polukhina). A promising idea that is poorly communicated may receive little attention, while a carefully structured explanation can make even difficult or unfamiliar material accessible. In many contexts, the way information is presented can influence how that information itself is perceived.

This does not mean that presentation effectiveness depends on theatrical performance or extraordinary charisma. Quite the opposite. Some of the strongest presentations are quiet, deliberate, and restrained. Confidence in this context does not necessarily mean speaking loudly or moving constantly across a stage; it can simply mean knowing the material well enough to communicate without appearing trapped by the slides. The essential issue is whether the presenter can establish a meaningful connection between the content and the people listening.

Purpose, Audience, and Presentation Form

Although presentations vary widely in subject, audience, duration, and setting, they tend to fall within

several recognizable categories (Castells and Szekely). Some are designed primarily to inform. Others aim to persuade, teach, demonstrate, motivate, report progress, introduce proposals, or encourage discussion. These purposes may overlap considerably. A university lecture can simultaneously inform and persuade, while a business proposal may explain technical information before attempting to influence a decision. Real presentations are rarely as neatly separated as textbook classifications sometimes suggest.

Still, recognizing the underlying purpose matters because presentation choices are rarely neutral. The structure used to explain scientific findings may not be appropriate for a motivational speech. A project update intended for senior management will probably require different levels of detail from an academic conference presentation. Similarly, a presentation for specialists can assume background knowledge that would confuse a general audience.

This is where audience awareness becomes particularly important. Presenters do not simply transmit information into an empty space; they communicate with people who bring different expectations, prior knowledge, attitudes, and levels of interest. A technically accurate presentation can still fail if its language is too specialized for its listeners. Conversely, excessive simplification can frustrate an expert audience. Effective presentation, then, involves a constant balancing act between what the presenter wants to communicate and what the audience is prepared—or willing—to receive.

The audience also shapes tone. A classroom presentation may permit a conversational style, questions, and spontaneous explanation, whereas a formal research conference may call for greater precision and disciplinary language. Presentations to policymakers, clients, students, or community members will each generate different expectations concerning evidence, terminology, pacing, and even visual design. The message cannot be separated entirely from the people for whom it is intended.

Presentations Beyond Slides

One of the more persistent misunderstandings surrounding presentations is the assumption that the presentation is the slide deck. It is not. Slides may support communication, but they remain only one component of a much larger communicative event. Presentations go beyond slides and speeches because they provide an organized space in which ideas can be introduced, explained, questioned, defended, shared, and translated into action (Youknovsky and Bowers).

A slide filled with information cannot determine whether an audience understands its significance. The presenter must establish that relationship. Numbers

require interpretation. Graphs need context. Quotations need relevance. Even a visually impressive slide may contribute little if the audience is never shown why the information matters.

In this sense, presentation technology can occasionally become a distraction. Sophisticated animations, elaborate templates, and dense visual material may create the appearance of professionalism without necessarily improving communication. A beautifully designed presentation that overwhelms its audience with competing information is still ineffective. Sometimes a single image, a short phrase, or a carefully constructed diagram communicates more than several crowded slides.

The spoken element remains equally significant. Listeners respond not only to the information presented but also to pacing, pauses, emphasis, clarity of pronunciation, eye contact, gesture, and the presenter's apparent relationship with the subject matter. These nonverbal and paralinguistic features influence how a message is received, even when audiences are not consciously aware of them. A deliberate pause before an important statement, for instance, can give an idea greater weight than simply placing the same sentence in bold text.

Presentations can consequently serve several functions at once. They can share information, shape perceptions, teach new skills, encourage participation, influence decisions, or motivate audiences to take a particular course of action (Youknovsky and Bowers). Their communicative power lies partly in this combination of explanation and immediacy. Unlike many written forms, presentations allow speakers to observe reactions as they occur and adjust accordingly.

That adaptability matters. A presenter who notices confusion can slow down and provide another example. If the audience already understands a particular point, an unnecessary explanation can be shortened. Questions can redirect emphasis, and unexpected responses may even reveal weaknesses in the presentation's original assumptions. In this sense, presenting is not merely transmission; it can become a form of negotiation between speaker, material, and audience.

Choosing an Appropriate Presentation Style

Because presentation settings differ so widely, there is no universally effective style. Presenters often adopt particular approaches to organize their ideas, manage tone, and create an appropriate relationship with the audience (Baker and Thompson). The choice of style can influence almost every aspect of the presentation: vocabulary, slide design, storytelling, evidence, pacing, audience participation, and the degree of formality.

An informative presentation, for example, usually prioritizes clarity, organization, and comprehension.

Its purpose is primarily to help the audience understand a subject. This may involve explaining a theory, presenting research findings, describing a policy, or summarizing developments within a particular field. The challenge is not simply to include accurate information but to decide what the audience actually needs to know. Too much detail can be as damaging as too little.

Persuasive presentations operate differently. Here, information is usually arranged in support of a position, proposal, recommendation, or desired action. Evidence becomes particularly important, but persuasion is rarely achieved through evidence alone. The presenter must also anticipate objections, recognize competing interpretations, and establish why a particular conclusion deserves attention. Audiences are more likely to respond positively when they feel that alternative viewpoints have been understood rather than ignored.

Instructional or demonstrative presentations have another emphasis. Their goal is often practical: to show an audience how something works or how a particular task should be performed. These presentations usually benefit from clear sequencing, examples, demonstrations, and opportunities for audience participation. Explaining every stage verbally without showing the process may create unnecessary cognitive difficulty, particularly when the task involves multiple steps.

Motivational presentations, by contrast, tend to place greater emphasis on emotional engagement, personal relevance, and narrative. Facts may still be important, but the central objective is often to alter how an audience thinks or feels about a subject. Stories, rhetorical questions, repetition, and carefully chosen examples can help create that connection. Yet motivational speaking carries its own danger: enthusiasm without substance can feel hollow surprisingly quickly. Effective motivation usually rests on credibility and meaningful content rather than emotional intensity alone.

These categories are useful, but they should not become rigid boxes. Many successful presentations blend several styles. A researcher presenting findings may begin informatively, use persuasive reasoning to discuss implications, and finish with a call for institutional action. A teacher might combine explanation, demonstration, storytelling, and discussion within a single lesson. The boundaries are porous, and that is often where the most interesting communication occurs.

Strengths, Limitations, and Contextual Fit

Each presentation style brings advantages, but none works equally well in every situation. An intensely data-driven format may provide credibility in a

technical meeting yet feel inaccessible in a community forum. Storytelling may create emotional resonance, although excessive reliance on anecdote can weaken an argument that requires empirical evidence. An interactive style may work extremely well in a workshop but become difficult to manage during a tightly timed conference presentation.

The question, then, is not simply Which style is best? A more useful question is: Which style best serves this message, this audience, and this moment?

That distinction matters because presenters sometimes select formats based on personal comfort rather than communicative need. Someone who enjoys detailed explanations may overload slides with information. A confident storyteller may rely too heavily on narrative when the audience expects evidence. Another presenter may use a highly formal tone simply because the setting feels important, even though a more direct conversational approach would communicate more effectively.

Presentation competence involves learning to adjust. This flexibility requires a degree of self-awareness: knowing one's own habits, recognizing when they are useful, and identifying when they interfere with the message. It also requires an understanding that presentation conventions are shaped by context. What counts as engaging in one culture, discipline, or professional environment may appear excessively informal or even inappropriate in another. The strongest presenters tend to treat style as a means rather than an identity. They do not necessarily ask, "What kind of presenter am I?" but instead consider, "What does this audience need from me right now?" That small shift changes the focus from performance to communication.

Confidence Through Alignment

Understanding different presentation styles can also strengthen confidence, though perhaps not in the obvious way. Confidence does not emerge merely from rehearsal or memorization. It often grows when the presenter has made deliberate decisions about purpose, structure, evidence, tone, and audience. When those elements align, the presentation feels less like a sequence of slides that must be survived and more like an argument, explanation, or story that has somewhere to go.

This alignment can reduce one of the most common sources of presentation anxiety: uncertainty. A presenter who knows why each section exists, what the audience should understand from it, and how one point leads naturally to the next is less dependent on memorized wording. That creates room for more natural speech, eye contact, and adaptation.

Preparation still matters enormously, of course, but useful preparation extends beyond repeating the presentation word for word. It includes anticipating questions, identifying difficult transitions, simplifying overcrowded sections, rehearsing timing, and deciding which ideas deserve emphasis. It also means accepting that a live presentation will never unfold exactly as imagined. Someone may interrupt. Technology may fail. A question may lead in an unexpected direction. The presenter may forget a phrase that sounded perfect during rehearsal. None of these moments necessarily ruins the presentation.

In fact, slight imperfections can sometimes make a presentation feel more authentic. A spontaneous clarification, a brief pause to reconsider a point, or an unplanned example may create a stronger connection than perfectly memorized delivery. Audiences generally do not require flawless performance. They need coherence, credibility, and a sense that the speaker is genuinely communicating rather than simply reciting prepared material.

Seen in this broader way, presentation skills are not merely a professional ornament. They are part of the infrastructure of modern academic, educational, organizational, and public communication. Presentations allow people to make knowledge visible, translate specialized ideas into accessible language, influence decisions, teach procedures, and invite others into a conversation. Different contexts call for different approaches, and understanding those approaches gives presenters a wider repertoire from which to choose (Baker and Thompson). The goal is not to imitate one supposedly ideal presentation style, but to develop enough flexibility to recognize what a particular communicative situation demands and then respond to it with clarity, intention, and confidence..

II. Informative: When the Facts Need to Speak

Informative presentations are all about delivering clear, factual content without persuasion or emotional spin (Simonics). They show up everywhere, in classroom lessons, quarterly briefings, departmental updates after a company shakeup, and any situation where people simply need to understand what's going on (Baker and Thompson). The real challenge isn't finding material to share; it's knowing what to leave out (Fleming). A strong informative presentation is ruthlessly organized, guiding the audience step by step from what they already know to what they need to grasp next (Baker and Thompson). Visuals help simplify complex ideas (Fleming), examples make abstract points feel concrete, and a clean structure keeps everyone oriented instead of overwhelmed. When the presenter stays focused, trims the excess, and uses tools that genuinely support understanding, the result is powerful in its simplicity. If people walk out

with new knowledge and a clearer picture of the topic, that's the sign you've done it right.

III. Persuasive: When You Need to Move People

Persuasive presentations go beyond simply sharing information; they are designed to shift how an audience thinks, feels, or acts (Jansen et al.). You'll see them in fundraising pitches, sales decks, policy proposals, and any environment where a decision hangs in the balance (Youknovsky and Bowers). Their effectiveness depends not only on the strength of the argument, but on the presenter's ability to understand the audience's existing beliefs and meet them at that starting point (Baker and Thompson). Evidence is essential, but persuasion is never just about facts; it's about weaving those facts into a narrative that resonates (Jansen et al.). A compelling story can make data memorable, while anticipating objections before they are voiced builds trust and credibility. Tone and delivery matter too; clarity, confidence, and empathy can transform a presentation from a pushy pitch into a guided journey. Done right, persuasion doesn't feel like pressure; it feels like the audience concluded themselves. The true mark of success is when people leave not only convinced, but motivated to act on what they've just heard.

IV. Instructional: When the Goal Is Capability

Instructional presentations are built not just to inform, but to equip (Fleming). Their success is measured by whether the audience can now do something they couldn't do before (Lengálová). You'll find this format in employee onboarding, technical training, and skills workshops, where the goal is practical competence rather than abstract understanding (Simonics). Preparing for this type of presentation requires a shift in perspective. The presenter must think like a beginner, anticipate confusion, and design a sequence that builds steadily without being overwhelming (Fleming). Clear demonstrations, guided practice, and checkpoints aren't optional extras; they are the core of the experience (Lengálová). Effective instructional presentations break complex tasks into manageable steps, use visuals to reinforce learning, and provide opportunities for hands-on application. When done well, they leave participants not only informed, but empowered and ready to apply new skills with confidence in real situations.

V. Motivational: When Energy Is the Message

Motivational presentations don't exist to transfer information or close a deal; their purpose is to shift the mood in the room, to remind people why the work matters, or to show that challenges can be overcome (Halder). They aim to inspire, energize, and reaffirm collective purpose, often drawing strength from

authenticity and lived experience (Youknovsky and Bowers). The audience can easily sense when a speaker is performing inspiration rather than expressing it, which is why the most effective motivational talks are grounded in genuine setbacks, unexpected turns, and hard-won lessons (Halder). Rather than relying on a rigid structure, they convey a more personal tone, weaving narrative and emotion into a message that resonates deeply. Stories of resilience, lessons learned through adversity, and reminders of shared values create emotional connection and momentum. When delivered with sincerity, motivational presentations don't just leave people feeling good; they leave them feeling ready, empowered, and aligned with a renewed sense of purpose.

VII. Decision-Making: When the Room Needs to Choose

Decision-making presentations aren't about transferring knowledge or persuading an audience toward a single answer. They are about helping a group navigate choices (Castells and Szekely). They lay out the landscape clearly: the available options, the trade-offs involved, the risks to consider, and the uncertainties that remain (Jansen et al.). This format demands neutrality, even when the presenter has a personal preference, because the audience needs to trust that they are seeing the full picture rather than a curated argument (Baker and Thompson). Transparency, balance, and fairness are essential. Strong decision-making presentations rely on clear comparisons, honest assessments of downsides, and a logical framework that guides evaluation without rushing the process (Castells and Szekely). They often use tools like matrices, scenario analysis, or structured pros-and-cons lists to make complex choices more manageable. The goal is not to advocate for a predetermined outcome, but to facilitate informed and deliberate discussion. When done well, the group leaves not only with a decision but with confidence in how that decision was reached. Knowing it was thoughtful, balanced, and grounded in the best available information.

VI. Demonstrative: When Seeing Beats Explaining

Some things are easier to show than to describe, and that's where demonstrative presentations excel (Shalita). They cut through complexity by putting the subject directly in front of the audience. Product reveals, lab experiments, or walkthroughs of new software features all work because they replace abstraction with evidence (Simonics). Instead of imagining how something functions, the audience sees it unfold before them. This immediacy builds clarity and credibility, but it also carries risk: live demonstrations can fail, and when they do, the impression lingers (Fleming). That's why preparation

matters more here than almost anywhere else. A smooth, well-executed demo signals mastery and inspires confidence, while a broken one undermines trust. Effective demonstrative presentations anticipate technical hiccups, rehearse transitions, and often include backup plans to keep momentum even if something goes wrong. When done right, they don't just explain, they prove, leaving the audience with a vivid, lasting understanding of the subject.

VII. Interactive: When the Audience Is the Presentation

Some of the most effective presentations are precisely those in which the presenter speaks less, not more (Valleva and Polukhina). This may seem counterintuitive at first. Presentations are often imagined as performances built around the speaker: one person holds the floor, the audience listens, and information moves in a largely one-directional stream. Interactive formats unsettle that assumption. They redistribute attention, authority, and even responsibility for meaning-making. Rather than standing at the center and continuously delivering content, the presenter creates the conditions in which participants can engage with the material, respond to one another, challenge ideas, and contribute their own interpretations (Castells and Szekely). This changes the communicative architecture of the presentation almost completely. The audience is no longer treated as a passive destination for information. Participants become part of the process itself.

That shift matters because listening, although valuable, is only one form of learning. When people are asked to make a choice, explain a viewpoint, solve a problem, defend an interpretation, or compare their thinking with that of others, they must process the material more actively. The presentation becomes less about exposure and more about participation. In practical terms, this can involve polls, brief paired discussions, collaborative tasks, group problem-solving, live question-and-answer sessions, case analysis, audience-generated examples, or moments in which participants are invited to predict what comes next. These methods work partly because participation can generate a sense of ownership over the ideas being explored (Jansen et al.).

There is a meaningful cognitive difference between hearing an answer and arriving at one. A conclusion discovered through discussion tends to feel different from a conclusion simply displayed on a slide. The first has been worked through; the second has merely been encountered. People often remember what they helped to construct far longer than what they were simply told.

This does not mean that direct explanation has lost its place. Far from it. Certain concepts require careful clarification, and some audiences may prefer stronger guidance. Yet interactive formats remind us that understanding can emerge through doing, questioning, disagreement, and negotiation rather than explanation alone.

Participation as a Form of Ownership

The strength of interactive presentations lies partly in the psychological movement from reception to involvement. Once participants are asked to contribute, they begin to invest something of themselves in the session. A poll may appear trivial, but even a simple act of choosing an answer forces the participant to take a position. A discussion question invites comparison between existing assumptions and newly presented information. A collaborative exercise may reveal gaps in understanding that would remain invisible during passive listening. Participation, then, does more than keep an audience awake. It can alter the depth at which information is processed. An audience member who has to explain why a particular option seems reasonable must retrieve prior knowledge, connect it with the current material, and articulate a line of reasoning. Someone responding to a peer must listen carefully enough to identify agreement or disagreement. In a group task, participants may find themselves negotiating meanings that seemed obvious when presented abstractly. These small acts of cognitive effort accumulate. They transform the presentation from a sequence of statements into a series of encounters with ideas.

There is also a social dimension to this process. Interactive presentation formats can make knowledge visible across the room. One participant may interpret an issue through professional experience, another through cultural background, and someone else from a theoretical perspective. When these views are brought into the open, the presentation gains access to a reservoir of knowledge that the presenter could not realistically provide alone. This is especially valuable in heterogeneous groups, where participants bring different levels of expertise and different ways of seeing the same problem. The presenter, in this sense, is no longer the sole source of value. That can be uncomfortable. It can also be liberating.

The Demands of Real-Time Responsiveness

Interactive presentations, however, are not easier simply because the presenter speaks less. In many ways, they are more demanding. A traditional

presentation can be tightly scripted, rehearsed, and timed. Interactive formats introduce uncertainty. People may raise unexpected questions, disagree strongly, misunderstand instructions, remain silent, dominate discussion, or take the conversation in an entirely unforeseen direction. The presenter must respond to these developments without losing sight of the broader purpose of the session.

This places greater emphasis on real-time responsiveness and less on scripted control (Halder). Preparation still matters, but the nature of preparation changes. Instead of memorizing every sentence, the presenter needs a firm understanding of the subject, a clear sense of the intended outcomes, and enough flexibility to respond intelligently when the session deviates from the original plan.

Reading the room becomes crucial. Are participants energized or visibly fatigued? Is a discussion producing useful insight or simply circling the same point? Are several people trying to contribute, or has one voice begun to dominate? Does a confused expression suggest that the activity needs clarification? Should the presenter intervene, or would a few more seconds of silence allow someone else to speak?

These judgments happen quickly, often without much conscious calculation. The presenter is effectively managing two processes at once: the intellectual content of the session and the social dynamics through which that content is being explored. In this respect, facilitation is a skill distinct from conventional public speaking. It requires attention not only to what is being said but to who is speaking, who is not, where the energy is moving, and whether the conversation remains connected to its purpose.

Effective interactive presentations tend to operate in a productive tension between structure and openness. Too much control can reduce participation to a decorative gesture: the audience is technically invited to engage, but every answer is steered toward a predetermined conclusion. Too little structure creates the opposite problem. Discussion becomes diffuse, time disappears, and the session may generate enthusiasm without producing much insight. The challenge is to provide enough scaffolding to make participation meaningful while leaving sufficient space for genuine exploration.

This usually begins with clear framing. Participants need to know what they are being asked to do, why the task matters, how much time they have, and what kind of response is expected. Vague invitations such as

“What do you think?” can sometimes work, but more focused prompts often lead to richer discussion. Asking participants to identify the strongest argument, rank three options, diagnose a problem, compare interpretations, or propose a solution gives interaction a clearer intellectual direction. Timing matters too. An activity that runs too long can exhaust its value, while one that ends too quickly may prevent participants from reaching beyond obvious first responses. The presenter must be willing to adjust. If a discussion suddenly becomes productive, it may be worth giving it another minute. If an activity clearly fails to engage the group, stubbornly preserving the original schedule serves little purpose.

Collaboration, Perspective, and Collective Insight

Interactive formats can be especially powerful when the aim is not merely to transfer information but to develop shared understanding. Group problem-solving, for example, places participants in a situation where they must combine knowledge, negotiate priorities, and sometimes defend conflicting interpretations. The result may be a solution that no single participant would have produced alone. This is where interactive presentations begin to resemble collaborative inquiry.

Diverse perspectives can surface assumptions that might otherwise remain hidden. A participant from one professional background may notice a practical limitation overlooked by someone with theoretical expertise. Another may question whether a proposed approach works equally well across cultures or institutions. Someone else may introduce an ethical concern that was absent from the original framework. These contributions do not necessarily weaken the presentation. They can deepen it. The presenter must be prepared for that possibility. Genuine interaction means relinquishing some control over where insight comes from. If every contribution is merely evaluated against the speaker's pre-existing answer, the format remains interactive only on the surface. Meaningful participation allows participants to alter the conversation, introduce complications, and occasionally reveal that the original framing was incomplete. That possibility is part of the value.

At their best, interactive presentations create a temporary intellectual community. Participants are not merely sharing a physical or digital space; they are collectively testing ideas, comparing experiences, and constructing a richer picture of the subject. This can produce a sense of connection that conventional presentation formats sometimes struggle to achieve,

particularly when audiences are large or unfamiliar with one another.

When the Audience Becomes Part of the Meaning

The deepest strength of interactive presentations may lie in their capacity to transform the audience from recipients into co-creators. Information is still introduced, certainly, but it is interpreted through participation. Meaning emerges not only from what the presenter says but from how participants respond, question, reinterpret, and connect the material with their own experience.

This does not mean every presentation should become an open discussion. Context matters. A tightly timed conference address, an emergency briefing, or a highly technical explanation may require a more controlled format. Interaction should serve the purpose of the presentation rather than become an obligation performed simply because audience engagement is fashionable.

When used thoughtfully, however, interactive methods can deepen comprehension, increase retention, and strengthen the social dimension of learning. They create room for uncertainty, disagreement, surprise, and discovery. Those qualities may make the session slightly less predictable, but they can also make it more memorable. The presenter in such a setting does not disappear. The role simply changes. Rather than dominating the communicative space, the presenter becomes a designer of experience, a facilitator of dialogue, and, at times, a careful observer of ideas unfolding in real time. Effective interactive presentations preserve enough structure to keep participation purposeful while remaining flexible enough to follow productive energy when it appears. When this balance is achieved, the audience does more than absorb information. Participants test it, reshape it, connect it to what they already know, and contribute something of their own. The presentation ceases to be a finished message delivered from one person to many. It becomes a shared act of meaning-making—and that is often what makes it stay with people long after the final slide has disappeared.

VIII. Conclusion

Most presentation advice focuses on delivery: speak clearly, make eye contact, don't read from your slides (Baker and Thompson). That guidance isn't wrong, but it starts too late. Before any of those matters, you need to know what kind of conversation you're actually trying to have (Youknovsky and Bowers). Are you

transferring knowledge or building a skill? Making a case or laying out options? Energizing a room or inviting it to participate? Each of these is a different job, and each call uses a different tool. The seven types outlined here—informative, persuasive, instructional, motivational, decision-making, demonstrative, interactive, and blended—aren't rigid boxes (Castells and Szekely). In practice, a single presentation might inform and persuade, or demonstrate and then invite discussion. What matters is clarity of purpose. Knowing your primary goal anchors everything else: how you open, what you include, how you close, and what you leave out. Audiences rarely remember flawless delivery alone. They remember presentations that felt tailored to them, where the format, content, and moment aligned (Halder). That alignment doesn't happen by accident; it begins with a simple question asked before anything else: What do I actually need this presentation to do? Answer that honestly, choose your format accordingly, and you're already ahead of most people who will ever stand up to speak.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for this book review.

Human Participants

This paper does not involve human participants.

Originality Note

The authors confirm that the manuscript is their original work, and if others' works are used, they are properly cited/quoted.

REFERENCES

1. Interactive Systems' 99: Proceedings of the Eurographics Workshop in Braga, Portugal, June 2–4, 1999. Vienna: Springer Vienna, 1999.
2. Fleming, Malcolm. "Characteristics of effective instructional presentation: What we know and what we need to know." *Educational Technology* 21.7 (1981): 33-38.
3. Halder, Neel. "Encouraging teaching and presentation skills." *The Clinical Teacher* 9.4 (2012): 253-257.
4. Jansen, Sylvia JT, et al. "The effect of presentation: What you see is what you value." *Journal of Architectural and Planning Research* (2011): 181-193.
5. Lengálová, Anežka. "From the beginner to the mentor." ICERI2015 Proceedings. IATED, 2015.
6. Shalita, Rachel. "Different Types of Art Presentation." *Journal of Visual Literacy* 17.1 (1997): 35-46.
7. Simonics, Istvan. "Transfer of information by mentor teachers." *International Conference on Interactive*

Collaborative Learning. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018.

8. Valleva, Elvira E., and Marina O. Polukhina. "Teaching presentation skills in English language classes." *Vestnik of Samara State Technical University Psychological and Pedagogical Sciences* 15.4 (2018): 55-65.
9. Youknovsky, Alexia, and James Bowers. "Know Your Context: Types of Presentation: Establish the setting." *SELL YOUR RESEARCH: Public Speaking for Scientists*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2024. 17-31.

About the author

Sandra Hodaifa is an English educator and language professional based in Aleppo, Syria. She holds a Bachelor's degree in English from Aleppo University (2013) and a Master's degree in English Literature from the same university (2020). Her experience spans school, university, and training settings. She taught English at Al Manara Private School from 2016 to 2023 and currently teaches at Al Marah Private School, using creative, interactive methods to strengthen learners' real-life language use. She also served as an ESL Lecturer at Aleppo University (2021–2022) and works as an ESL Trainer with Ousos (2021–present), supporting students in developing confident second-language communication. In addition, she has worked as a translator, including roles with UNSCO and the Syrian Arab Red Crescent (2024–2025). She has completed ICDL and Amadeus courses, plus workshops in law and first aid. Known for clear communication, leadership, and strong organization, she thrives under pressure and meets demanding deadlines. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) which allows reusers to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon the material in any medium or format for noncommercial purposes only, and only so long as attribution is given to the creator.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) (CC BY-NC 4.0) which allows reusers to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon the material in any medium or format for noncommercial purposes only, and only so long as attribution is given to the creator.