MAAREF UNIVERSITY RESEARCH JOURNAL RJMU

Research Journal of Maaref University of Applied Sciences (RJMU)

Theoretical Paper

Vol 2, Issue 1, pages.101-106, February (2026) **ISSN:** 3105-7985

"The Will to Power" as a Source of Transformation: A Psychological and Philosophical Assessment

Muhammad Bikdash

Lecturer at Maaref University of Applied Sciences, Syria
Corresponding Author: e-mail: *Muhammad.Bikdash@mas.edu.sy*

Available online at: https://journal.mas.edu.sy/MASV02E01D02202619

Received: 23 November 2025 Revised: 7 December 2025 Accepted: 17 January 2026

***Abstract*—** This paper examines Friedrich Nietzsche's concept of the will to power as a fundamental source of psychological and philosophical transformation. Rather than treating the will to power solely as a metaphysical doctrine or a theory of domination, the study advances an interpretation that emphasizes its role as a dynamic principle of self-overcoming and life-affirmation. Through close engagement with Nietzsche's major texts and contemporary scholarship, the paper explores the diverse interpretations of the will to power, metaphysical, psychological, ethical, and cultural. The study also highlights how the will to power functions as an organizing structure of drives that enables self-mastery and the creative revaluation of values. Special attention has been given to Nietzsche's response to nihilism, showing how the will to power operates as a generative force that transforms despair into affirmation and passivity into creativity. The paper also addresses major criticisms of the concept concerning egoism, elitism, and domination. Ultimately, the study argues that Nietzsche's will to power offers a compelling framework for understanding transformation as an ongoing process of self-creation and existential affirmation, rather than as a fixed moral or metaphysical principle.

***Keywords*—** Will to Power, Transformation, Self-Overcoming, Nihilism, Psychological Motivation, Life-Affirmation, Revaluation of Values, Existential Philosophy.

I. INTRODUCTION

Transformation, of one sort or another—psychological, cultural, personal—is a leitmotif in contemporary philosophy. The how and why of change remain an open question. One of the richest conceptual resources for thinking about change may be Fredriech Nietzsche's notion of the "Will to Power." This highly challenging idea provides an energetic theory of becoming, overcoming, and affirmation of life. The will to power can be interpreted as a source of transformation psychologically in the inner life, and philosophically in the revaluation of values, the overcoming of nihilism, and the affirmation of life. This study pursues Nietzsche's concept and examines its psychological dimension. It aims to interpret its philosophical significance for change, through addressing criticisms and tensions, and finally applies how this concept can help individuals to think about change in their lives.

II. Origins and Diverse Interpretations

Nietzsche employed the expression *Wille zur Macht* "Will to Power) in several works. Scholars are extremely divided on precisely what Nietzsche meant by it. Is it metaphysical (a law guiding all existence), biological (a driving force of living things), psychological (a model of human motivation), or an ethical-existential ideal? One of the most influential explanations is that for Nietzsche, the will to power is the fundamental cause of all our actions. It is not merely the will to live, but the will to expand, to stretch out, to dominate, to overcome. We find Nietzsche's explanation of good and bad in the following idea: "What is good? … All that enhances the feeling of power in man, the will to power, power itself. What is bad? All that originates from weakness." (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2025) Here, power is equated with flourishing. The will to power is thus an indicator of life-affirmation. It can, as such, be an overcoming resistance. A productive theme is that will to power really amounts to overcoming barriers and inertia. As Reginster puts it, "power" is "the person's ability to remake his environment in the service of his goals." (Reginster, 2006) Nietzsche links this to change and self-mastery. For him, the refined type of power is the internalization of one's will, creativity, and self-control rather than power over others.

III. A Metaphysical and Psychological Reading of the Will to Power

The will to power can be seen as the hidden framework of reality, e.g., "the world is the will to power—and nothing besides!" (Nietzsche, 1968, p. 167) We can emphasize the psychological aspect, seeing the will to power as the structuring of human drive and motivation. If will to power is the structuring of drive, then transformation can be thought of as a redirection or strengthening of that drive. In a metaphysical reading, the will to power emerges as the hidden framework of reality. It can be the fundamental principle through which all existence asserts, organizes, and transforms itself. Nietzsche encapsulates this ontological claim: that being itself is not a static substance but an ongoing dynamic of force, creation, and struggle. In this view, he replaces the traditional metaphysical categories of "being" and "truth" with flux and becoming, suggesting that existence is composed of countless centers of force perpetually seeking to expand, dominate, or overcome limitations (Nietzsche, 1968, p. 518). The will to power, then, is not simply an attribute of living beings. but the very energy that animates the cosmos.

It is the metaphysical substitute for all previous absolutes, like reason or the moral law. It is a principle that reveals the universe as self-organizing vitality rather than a design governed by divine will or rational purpose.

However, we can also interpret the will to power not only as a universal metaphysical law but also as a psychological insight into the structure of human motivation. In this reading, Nietzsche's idea becomes an exploration of the deep drives that shape human behavior. It is the dynamic model of desire, ambition, and self-assertion. Every act of striving, whether creative, moral, or intellectual, expresses an underlying impulse to affirm and expand one's sense of life and agency. The will to power, hence, adds to the psychological models of pleasure or self-preservation as the primary motive forces. For Nietzsche, in *The Antichrist*, human beings are not merely reactive organisms seeking safety or satisfaction; they are active centers of becoming, driven by an instinct to overcome resistance and impose form upon chaos (Nietzsche, 1982, p. 2).

The psychological interpretation opens the concept toward transformation. If the will to power is the structuring principle of drive, then personal and cultural transformation can be understood as a redirection or intensification of this internal energy. Rather than being a suppressing desire or renouncing ambition, Nietzsche envisions the possibility of harnessing these forces creatively. Transformation, therefore, is not the negation of will but its elevation: the refinement of instinct into artistry, the transmutation of chaos into order, and the conversion of suffering into strength (Came, 2022). In this sense, the will to power becomes a philosophy of becoming, a dynamic psychology of growth that invites individuals to reimagine selfhood as a continual act of self-overcoming. It may be interpreted that the will to power describes the nature of human drives. This drive is ultimately not for mere being or relaxation, but for extension, expression, and mastery. In terms of change or transformation, psychological growth is founded on the will to power. It is a struggle with oneself previously, one's attempts to develop one's capabilities, to bring into being, and to influence.

The essential structure of human motivation as a framework describes the restless, self-enhancing nature of our drives. Unlike theories that define human behavior in terms of the pursuit of pleasure or the avoidance of pain, Nietzsche's conception points to a more profound and dynamic principle: the drive toward extension, expression, and mastery. Nietzsche's notion of human behaviour is not simply reactive or hedonic, but rather rooted in a "strength of drives… held in unity" that seek expression and mastery (Janaway, 2012, p. 189). For Nietzsche, life is not about being content with mere persistence; it strives to expand, to assert, and to shape its environment according to its own form of valuation. In this sense, the will to power is the inner logic of vitality itself, the ever-present urge to move beyond stasis and limitation toward growth and differentiation.

Philosopher Paul Katsafanas interprets Nietzsche's idea precisely in this psychological light. He argues that the will to power "describes the structure of drive-motivated actions" and that "all actions aim at power" — not in a narrow, political sense, but as an expression of the self's attempt to impose order and coherence upon its impulses (Katsafanas, 2013, p. 32). Every action, from the simplest choice to the most profound creative act, reflects this underlying striving to actualize oneself through the transformation of both inner and outer conditions. The will to power, therefore, serves as a unifying principle that organizes the multiplicity of human drives into a dynamic pattern of self-assertion and self-formation. By extending this psychological reading to the realm of change and self-development, it becomes clear that growth itself is grounded in the will to power. Transformation does not arise from passivity or resignation but from an inner contest. It is the struggle of the self against its own limitations and its inherited forms of identity. Psychological growth, then, is the redirection and refinement of the will to power, which is a creative struggle in which one disciplines, reconfigures, and elevates one's drives to bring new values and capabilities into being. It is an inward conquest as much as an outward one.

IV. Self-Mastery through the Will to Power

The Will to Power is not merely a theory of domination or aggression; it is a psychology of creativity and transformation. To live, in Nietzsche's view, is to engage in an ongoing process of self-overcoming, that is, to convert resistance into strength and suffering into insight. Nietzsche suggests that "what is happiness? — …the feeling that power increases — that a resistance is overcome" (Nietzsche, 1982, p. 2). The self, far from being a static entity, becomes an ever-evolving expression of its own striving toward mastery, coherence, and affirmation. Thus, the will to power provides the psychological foundation for both individual transformation and the continual revaluation of human existence.

Self-mastery in Nietzschean terms is not merely referring to the suppression or restraint of impulses but rather to their transformation and redirection toward higher ends. True mastery of the self for Nietzsche is not ascetic denial but a creative reorganization of one's energies. It is a revaluation of the instincts that channels them into forms of strength, creation, and excellence. Nietzsche saw the human being as a complex bundle of drives, each vying for expression and dominance. To master oneself, then, is to orchestrate these conflicting impulses into a higher harmony that serves the affirmation of life rather than its negation. It is a process of converting weakness into strength, chaos into form, suffering into power. In this sense, the will to power becomes the dynamic principle of self-overcoming, the inner engine that propels transformation from mere existence into flourishing.

Nietzsche's conception of the *Übermensch* (Superman) embodies this ideal of self-mastery and transformation: "Lo, I teach you the Übermensch! … The Übermensch is the meaning of the earth. Let your will say: the Übermensch shall be the meaning of the earth!" (Nietzsche, 1966, p. 3). The *Übermensch* is not simply a being of superior physical or intellectual capacity; rather, he represents the culmination of the human capacity to re-create and re-value. He can impose new forms of meaning on life through the exercise of will. As Nietzsche writes in *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, the *Übermensch* is "the meaning of the earth," a being who transforms the chaos of existence into something beautiful and strong through his creative will (Nietzsche, 1966, p. 10). The *Übermensch* stands as a metaphor for the highest form of will to power: a disciplined yet expansive force that does not annihilate desire but sublimates it into artistic, moral, and existential creation. Self-mastery, therefore, is not repression but refinement. It is the elevation of one's instincts into art, philosophy, or life-affirming action.

In this light, the will to power is the mechanism of transformation through which the self becomes both the sculptor and the sculpture. Nietzsche's notion of mastery implies a continual process of becoming, an open-ended striving toward greater intensity of being rather than the attainment of any fixed state of perfection. The *Übermensch* is a figure of continual overcoming, eternally engaged in the creative act of self-formation. According to Pejnović, the autonomous individual

© 2026, RJMU All Rights Reserved

possesses "mastery over himself … the will and power for struggle to overcome" limitations and constraints (Pejnović, 2014, p. 30). This creative mastery of life, which transforms limitation into possibility and suffering into strength, reveals that for Nietzsche, the highest expression of will to power lies not in domination of others, but in the profound and endless task of mastering oneself.

V. Transformation from Nihilism to a Celebration of Life

Rather than succumbing to negative despair or resentment, one could celebrate one's desires. It is a celebration of the world as becoming. In this extent, the will to power is a revolutionary force. It allows the agent to overcome the paralyzing clasp of nihilism and become active, creative, and self-assertive. A core psychological transformation in Nietzsche's philosophy is the movement from nihilism —the disintegration of meaning and value— to an affirmative solemnization of life. Nietzsche's philosophy may be understood as a progression "from nihilism—the devaluation of life's highest values—to an affirmative celebration of life" (Reginster, 2006, p. 12). The will to power stands at the very heart of this transformation, functioning as both the diagnosis of nihilism's root and the cure that transcends it. Nihilism, for Nietzsche, arises when traditional sources of value —religion, morality, metaphysics—collapse under the weight of their own contradictions, leaving humanity suspended in a void where "the highest values devalue themselves" (Nietzsche, 1968, p. 2). Yet, Nietzsche does not regard this collapse as an endpoint, but as a beginning. He sees it as a necessary clearing that opens the possibility for new creation. The will to power represents the inner vitality that resists this void. It can be the affirmative impulse that turns despair into creativity and negation into affirmation. Rather than succumbing to the inertia and passive resignation, the individual animated by will to power redirects these dark energies toward the construction of new values and meanings.

To say "yes" to life, in Nietzsche's sense, is to embrace existence in all its flux, tension, and suffering. It means to celebrate becoming rather than mourn the absence of being. It is a psychological and existential revolution, a turning outward from nihilism's paralysis toward an active engagement with life's ever-changing energies. In this way, Nietzsche's philosophy becomes a form of spiritual therapy. This philosophy can be seen as a method for transforming the will's destructive tendencies into sources of growth and creation. The will to power thus functions as a generative force, not merely a striving for dominance but a deep affirmation of existence itself. As Nietzsche writes in *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, "My formula for greatness in a human being is *amor fati*: that one wants nothing to be different, not forward, not backward, not in all eternity" (Nietzsche, 1966, p. 258). This love of fate is the emotional manifestation of will to power. It is the joyous acceptance of life's totality, the capacity to transform suffering, loss, and chaos into instruments of strength.

In this sense, the will to power is not only a psychological principle but a revolutionary one. It overturns the nihilistic consciousness that seeks escape from life and replaces it with an ethos of creative affirmation. Safronov argues that in Friedrich Nietzsche's thought "the will to power … emerges as a relational, dispositional dynamic — an endless play of creative impulses and affects shaping, struggling, and overcoming" (Safronov, 2025, p. 5). The agent who embodies will to power no longer experiences the world as meaningless or threatening; instead, they experience it as a field of potentiality, like an arena in which to exercise the powers of creation, interpretation, and transformation. Through this act of affirmation, Nietzsche envisions a humanity capable of self-overcoming, a being who transcends the paralysis of negation and becomes the artist of their own existence. The will to power, then, is the pulse of life's renewal. It is considered the dynamic energy that allows the human spirit to turn from despair to affirmation and flourishing.

Nietzsche also analyzes the negative side. He observes that for many, the will to power is stifled, turned inward in self-destructive directions, and becomes a "will to nothingness" (the will to diminish, to destroy, to escape rather than to expand). The weak will, the resentment-ridden moralities, and the ascetic ideal are all forms of the will to power, but in corrupted form (Reginster, 2013). Fear, inertia, and depression are forces to wrestle with to transform. However, feeling powerful grows when one overcomes resistance. The will to power evokes an understanding of self-change as possible. Affirmation is not in complacency, but it is in active participation. When old values collapse because they no longer serve the flourishing of life. Nietzsche sees in that decay not merely a moral crisis but the prelude to a profound cultural transformation. The will to power, in this context, becomes the creative energy that reconfigures the very foundations of value. Nietzsche's genealogical approach exposes how inherited moral systems, particularly those rooted in Christian-Platonic traditions, have gradually turned against life, prioritizing weakness over strength. Nietzsche's genealogical method "exposes how inherited moral systems —particularly those rooted in Christian-Platonic traditions— have gradually turned against life, privileging weakness, self-denial, and otherworldliness over vitality, passion, and strength" (Forster, 2022, p. 42). Once these life-denying structures lose their grip, the space opens for new, life-affirming values to arise. These values that do not suppress human potential but rather express the overflowing force of existence itself. In this sense, the will to power is a cultural and historical force driving the evolution of civilization.

VI. Manifestation of the Will to Power in Culture

For Nietzsche, every culture is an embodiment of the will to power. It is found in its art, religion, morality, and politics. These all reflect underlying struggles between forces of vitality and forces of decay. They create epochs of brilliance and renewal when vitality dominates. The revaluation of values is thus the process through which a society reinvents its moral and aesthetic foundations in accordance with its creative strength. This act of revaluation is not an intellectual exercise alone. It is also a collective expression of the will to power as the spirit of transformation itself. It manifests in the artist's reimagining of beauty, the philosopher's critique of truth, and the free spirit's defiance of convention. Nietzsche's call is not for a return to older values, but for the creation of new ones born out of life's dynamism, rooted in the earth rather than in metaphysical abstractions.

Culturally, this transformation signifies a turning point in humanity's spiritual history. Once the metaphysical scaffolding of true worlds and eternal truths collapses, culture is liberated from its moral fetters and can once again become creative, self-affirming, and plural. The will to power here reveals its most revolutionary aspect. It enables culture to reassert itself as a living organism, constantly renewing its meanings and symbols through the vitality of its creators. The emergence of such new values marks not decline but rebirth: a return to the world, to the senses, and to the artistry of existence. Through this creative act, culture itself becomes a testament to the will to power, embodying a transformation that is at once aesthetic, moral, and ontological.

© 2026, RJMU All Rights Reserved

Nonetheless, Nietzsche's will to power raises challenging normative issues. If the will to power is an explanatory hypothesis underlying action, does it also provide normative recommendations? Some authors argue that Nietzsche cannot derive normative statements from descriptive ones. Ian D. Dunkle argues that reading Nietzsche's will to power as a normative basis for values or moral prescriptions misrepresents his texts, showing such normative interpretations fail to capture Nietzsche's actual positions on the concept (Dunkle, 2020). This tension is significant to transformation: if we interpret will to power as descriptive, then transformation can happen but without normative "oughtness"; if we interpret it as normative, then transformation gains an existential imperative as well as danger of over-demanding or authoritarian interpretations.

For Nietzsche, transformation is inseparable from the notion of *self-overcoming* (*Selbstüberwindung*), the perpetual act by which the individual transcends his former limitations, inherited values, and internal contradictions. To transform oneself is not simply to change, but to wrestle with and rise above one's own past. To change means to confront the fragments of weakness, conformity, and borrowed morality that inhibit creative life. Nietzsche sees this process as the very essence of existence, for life itself, he insists (Nietzsche, 1968, p. 13). The self, therefore, is not a fixed entity but a dynamic process, a becoming that must repeatedly conquer its own inertia. This is why Nietzsche's philosophy rejects the metaphysical dream of permanence and stability; for him, to live authentically is to remain in motion, to embrace the flux and tension of existence as the crucible of growth.

In this context, the will to power functions as the inner motor of transformation. It is the energy that drives the individual to surpass the boundaries of what he is toward what he might become. Self-overcoming demands courage, because it involves not only the renunciation of comforting illusions but also the risk of remaking one's identity in the face of uncertainty. It is a dangerous, creative act that requires the destruction of inherited values and the invention of new ones. Nietzsche's *Übermensch* (Superman) embodies this principle of self-surpassing. Far from being a biological or social superior, he represents the human being who has learned to use the will to power as a means of continual transcendence and turning suffering into strength, conflict into creativity, and limitation into opportunity.

Becoming is of higher worth than being because it expresses life's fundamental nature—unceasing movement, creation, and transformation. "The self," he writes in *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, "is something that must be overcome" (Nietzsche, 1966, p. 27). This insight transforms the very meaning of change: it is not a deviation from essence but its fulfillment. Change, in Nietzsche's view, is not a temporary passage toward a fixed ideal but an ongoing adventure, meaning it is fluid, perilous, and creative. It involves risk because it dismantles certainty; discovery because it reveals new possibilities of existence; and value creation because it demands that we replace the old with something more life-affirming. In this sense, transformation is embedded within the will to power itself in its lived expression. Every act of self-overcoming renews the will's vitality and confirms life's open-endedness. Nietzsche's vision, then, is profoundly hopeful: the human being, through the will to power, is capable of endless rebirth, a continual re-fashioning of self and the world.

VII. Critique of the Will to Power

Some critics view this concept as highly egoistic or elitist. Muhammad Ateeq's criticism is that Nietzsche's will to power can create an egotistical vision of superiority. While Nietzsche's will to power has been celebrated as a philosophy of creativity and self-overcoming, it has also drawn significant criticism for what some interpret as its egoistic and elitist implications (Ateeq, 2022). Certain readers view the concept as valorizing domination, hierarchy, and control over others rather than inner self-mastery. From this perspective, the will to power can appear as a justification for authoritarianism or as a metaphysical endorsement of strength at the expense of compassion. Some critics argue that Nietzsche's philosophy, when taken in this light, risks fostering an egotistical vision of superiority. As such, human worth is measured by one's capacity to impose will upon others. In such interpretations, Nietzsche's emphasis on power is seen not as the creative expression of life's dynamism but as a moral and political danger, inviting arrogance, exclusion, and the devaluation of empathy.

This line of critique emerges partly from Nietzsche's provocative language and his sharp denunciations of weakness, pity, and herd morality. To a modern reader, his celebration of strength and his metaphors of conquest can sound disturbingly close to social Darwinism or political elitism. Nietzsche's imagery of the *Übermensch*, for instance, has often been misunderstood as a call for biological superiority or racial hierarchy rather than symbolic transcendence. Ateeq's concern reflects this tension: by emphasizing the individual's drive to power, Nietzsche may appear to sanction an ethics of self-centered ambition detached from communal responsibility. The danger, as these critics see it, lies in reducing will to power to a psychology of dominance rather than an existential call to betterment.

Yet, Defenders of Nietzsche such as Walter Kaufmann and Gilles Deleuze have argued that traditional readings of the will to power as mere domination overlook its metaphorical and affirmative character; for Nietzsche, will to power is better understood as a dynamic force of self-expression, creative expansion, and the generation of values, rather than a narrow drive to dominate others (Kaufmann, 1974; Deleuze, 1983). The will to power is, in this sense, ontological rather than moral. Nietzsche's critique of pity or weakness is not a rejection of compassion but of stagnation, of any state that denies the vitality of becoming. Nonetheless, the fact that the will to power can be read in these conflicting ways underscores its complexity and philosophical danger. It can inspire both the highest forms of self-transcendence and, if misread, the most destructive forms of egotism.

Thus, Ateeq's criticism highlights an essential ambiguity in Nietzsche's thought that is the tension between the creative and the coercive. It is the conflict between the inner expansion of life and the external assertion of control. This ambiguity compels readers to discern whether Nietzsche's will to power should be understood as an ethical guide, a psychological insight, or a metaphysical description of existence. In acknowledging these criticisms, one gains a more complete view of Nietzsche's philosophy. It can be seen as both liberating and perilous, capable of elevating human potential but equally susceptible to misappropriation. The challenge, then, is to interpret the will to power not as a license for domination, but as a call to live creatively, courageously, and responsibly within the ever-changing flow of life.

Some psychological critics might comment that human flourishing is more nuanced than power increase, e.g., through love, vulnerability, and community. Nietzsche himself acknowledges risks. For him, the will to power can become destructive, self-annihilating (will to nothingness) when channeled incorrectly. Transformation through will to power is

© 2026, RJMU All Rights Reserved

not a monolithic "get stronger" but an introspective, reflective process of creation and evaluation. Furthermore, Dunkle argues that the popular reading of Nietzsche's will to power as providing a basis for normative ethics "fails to articulate a cogent reading faithful to Nietzsche's texts" and thus "casts doubt on" its normative viability (Dunkle, 2020, p. 190).

In recognizing the fundamental nature of drive, the human agent becomes aware that life is not a passive state of endurance, nor a mere obedience to inherited laws, but an energetic and dynamic process of self-expression and expansion. For Nietzsche, existence is not defined by the instinct to survive but by the impulse to become more, to extend one's power, to impose form and meaning upon the flux of experience. The will to power, in this sense, discloses that life's deepest impulse is not self-preservation but self-transcendence. It manifests in the urge to go beyond what one is, to actualize potentialities, and to transform limitation into creation. This awareness marks the moment when the individual ceases to live as a product of external moralities and begins to live as a creator of values. Nietzsche's agent, awakened to the reality of drive, discovers that vitality lies not in submission or adaptation but in the courageous assertion of one's inner energies upon the world.

Through this process of self-transcendence and value creation, the individual does not simply resist the constraints of the past but actively reconstitutes the meaning of existence. To overcome the past self is not to deny it, but to incorporate it. One can turn their weaknesses and defeats into material for growth. Nietzsche's vision of transformation is thus a process of sublimation. In the redirection of instinctual and emotional energies toward higher, life-affirming ends, one can transform. The agent, through this act, revalues the norms of the present, challenging what society takes to be good or true and replacing it with values grounded in vitality, creativity, and affirmation. This is the essence of Nietzsche's "revaluation of all values," which represents the ethical and existential task of the modern age, the creation of meaning after the death of all external authorities. Nietzsche's conception of the "revaluation of all values" represents the ethical and existential task of the modern age — the creation of meaning after the death of all external authorities (Brobjer, 2024, p. 23). In this act, the individual becomes not merely a moral agent but an artist of existence, shaping his life as a work of art.

Such transformation generates new life, new values, and new ways of being. It is not a once-for-all revolution but a continuous process of becoming, an ongoing act of creation that requires courage and spiritual generosity. The agent's self-overcoming radiates outward into culture, inspiring new forms of thought, art, and ethical imagination. In essence, the will to power is a creative principle. It is not about control but about manifestation, not about superiority over others but about the affirmation of life through continual renewal. The agent who recognizes life as this energetic striving becomes both its participant and its author, embodying the transformative spirit that defines Nietzsche's vision of human potential. In the end, transformation through the will to power means to live as a maker of meaning, to turn existence itself into a canvas upon which new values and new worlds can emerge.

VIII. Conclusion

The will to power, in Nietzschean philosophy, offers a profoundly generative framework for understanding transformation on both psychological and philosophical levels. It reveals life not as a state of mere survival, but as a dynamic process marked by tension, struggle, and continual becoming. Growth is thus inseparable from conflict. It is seen as the necessary condition for self-overcoming and creative transformation. The creation of value emerges as a central task of human existence, replacing existing moralities with meanings shaped through engagement and discipline. In moments of nihilism, the will to power affirms the possibility of renewal by converting negation into a catalyst for creation. Nietzsche's conception, therefore, resists resignation and passivity, insisting instead on an active affirmation of life in all its ambiguity and suffering. It invites a radical reassessment not only of what the human being is, but of what the human being may become. The will to power stands not as a doctrine of domination, but as an enduring philosophy of becoming, urging individuals to reimagine existence as an open field of possibility and affirmation.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for this book review.

Human Participants

This paper does not involve human participants.

Originality Note

The authors confirm that the manuscript is their original work, and if others' works are used, they are properly cited/quoted.

IX. REFERENCES

1. Ateeq, M. (2022). Nietzsche's "will to power" and its egotistic character: Focus on Santayana's critique of Nietzsche. Journal of Research in Social Sciences, 10(1).
2. Clark, M. (n.d.). Maudemarie Clark on Nietzsche's will to power. Philosopher.eu. https://www.philosopher.eu/maudemarie-clark-on-nietzsches-will-to-power
3. Brobjer, T. H. (2024). Nietzsche's revaluation of all values (Elements in the philosophy of Friedrich Nietzsche). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009480218
4. Came, D. (2022). Organic unity and the heroic: Nietzsche's aestheticization of suffering. In D. Came (Ed.), Nietzsche on morality and the affirmation of life (pp. xx–xx). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198728894.001.0001
5. Deleuze, G. (1983). Nietzsche and philosophy (H. Tomlinson, Trans.). Columbia University Press.
6. Dunkle, I. D. (2020). On the normativity of Nietzsche's will to power. Journal of Nietzsche Studies, 51(2), 188–211. https://doi.org/10.5325/jnietstud.51.2.0188
7. Nietzsche, F. (2025). Friedrich Nietzsche. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy (Spring 2025 ed.). https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2025/entries/nietzsche
8. Forster, M. N. (2022). Nietzsche: Three genealogies of Christianity. Genealogy, 6(2), 38–54. https://doi.org/10.3390/genealogy6020038
9. Janaway, C. (2012). Nietzsche on morality, drives, and human greatness. In C. Janaway & S. Robertson (Eds.), Nietzsche, naturalism, and normativity (pp. 183–201). Oxford University Press.
10. Katsafanas, P. (2013). Philosophical psychology as a basis for ethics. Nietzsche-Studien, 44(2), 297–317. https://doi.org/10.1515/nietzstu-2013-0014
11. Kaufmann, W. A. (1974). Nietzsche: Philosopher, psychologist, antichrist (4th ed.). Princeton University Press.
12. Nietzsche, F. (1966). Thus spoke Zarathustra: A book for all

© 2026, RJMU All Rights Reserved

and none (W. Kaufmann, Trans.). Vintage Books.
13. Nietzsche, F. (1968). The will to power (W. Kaufmann & R. J. Hollingdale, Trans.). Vintage Books.
14. Nietzsche, F. (1982). The Antichrist. In W. Kaufmann (Ed. & Trans.), The portable Nietzsche (pp. 565–656). Penguin Books.
15. Pejnović, V. S. (2014). Nietzsche understanding of autonomy as self-overcoming. Facta Universitatis, Series: Philosophy, Sociology, Psychology and History, 13(1), 27–37.
16. Reginster, B. (2013). The psychology of Christian morality: Will to power as will to nothingness. In J. Richardson & K. Gemes (Eds.), The Oxford handbook of Nietzsche (pp. 701–726). Oxford University Press.
17. Robertson, S. (2020). Moral psychology: Will to power. In Nietzsche and contemporary ethics (pp. 159–171). Oxford University Press.
18. Safronov, D. G. (2025). The refusal of ground: Thinking Nietzsche as force. Nietzsche-Studien, 54(1), 1–23.

About the author

Muhammad Najdat Bikdash, Master's Degree in English Literary Studies from Idlib University, 2020. Graduated from Latakia University in 2009. A teacher of the English language since 2010. Taught English for non-specialists in different universities. Taught in the English Department at Idlib University since 2018. Worked as a coordinator in humanitarian organizations for two years. Currently, a lecturer at Al Shamal Private University and Maaref University of Applied Sciences.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0), which allows reusers to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon the material in any medium or format for noncommercial purposes only, and only so long as attribution is given to the creator.